

6. Stellar Opacity

- Opacity κ appears in energy transport equation.
- Represents ability of stellar material to absorb radiation, or $1/(\text{heat conductivity})$.
- Need to consider all microscopic processes that can absorb photons at each frequency ν :
 - Bound-bound (bb) absorption
 - Bound-free (bf) absorption
 - Free-free (ff) absorption
 - Electron scattering (es)
- Need to combine them all into a single macroscopic quantity.
- Also need to consider thermal (electron) conduction (degenerate white dwarf material).

AS 3003

Stellar Physics

6.1 Opacity and mean free path

- Efficiency of conductive processes depends on
 - density of carriers
 - energy per carrier
 - mean free path of carriers.
- For photons of energy $E=h\nu$, mean free path:

$$\bar{l} = \frac{1}{\kappa_{\nu}\rho} \quad \text{where} \quad \kappa_{\nu} = \kappa_{\nu,bb} + \kappa_{\nu,bf} + \kappa_{\nu,ff} + \kappa_{\nu,es}$$

The diagram shows the equation $\kappa_{\nu} = \kappa_{\nu,bb} + \kappa_{\nu,bf} + \kappa_{\nu,ff} + \kappa_{\nu,es}$ with arrows pointing from each term to a corresponding label in a box below: bound-bound, bound-free, free-free, and electron scattering.

AS 3003

Stellar Physics

6.2 Opacity in stellar interiors

- **Opacity depends on:**
 - Level populations of different ion species (bb,bf)
 - Ionization balance (bf,ff)
 - Electron density n_e (bf,ff,es)
- **LTE is a good approximation inside stars.**
- **Level populations of individual species depend on T (Boltzmann) and on degree of ionization.**
- **Degree of ionization depends on n_e and T (Saha).**
- **Electron density n_e depends on ρ , T and local composition X_i . If fully ionized:**

$$n_e \propto \rho(2X + Y) = \rho(1 + X) \text{ since } X + Y \approx 1.$$
- **So ultimately $\kappa_\nu = \kappa_\nu(\rho, T, X_i)$.**
- **Need to define appropriate mean κ over all ν .**

H contributes twice as many e^- per unit mass as He.

AS 3003

Stellar Physics

6.3 Frequency-averaged opacity

- **How do we weight κ_ν when averaging over ν ?**
- **Earlier we defined the *flux mean* opacity:**

$$\kappa \equiv \frac{\int_0^\infty \kappa_\nu F_\nu d\nu}{\int_0^\infty F_\nu d\nu}$$
- **Snag: have to solve the monochromatic equation of radiative transfer throughout the star to determine F_ν .**
- **We want a purely local approximation!**
- **Fortunately, in TE:**

$$P_{\text{rad},\nu} = \frac{4\pi}{3c} B_\nu(T)$$

- **Hence**

$$-\frac{\kappa_\nu \rho}{c} F_\nu = \frac{dP_{\text{rad},\nu}}{dr} = \frac{4\pi}{3c} \frac{dB_\nu(T)}{dT} \frac{dT}{dr}.$$

Chain rule!

AS 3003

Stellar Physics

Rosseland mean opacity - 1

- Rearrange and integrate:

$$\int_0^\infty F_\nu d\nu \left(= \frac{L}{4\pi r^2} \right) = -\frac{4\pi}{3\rho} \frac{dT}{dr} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\kappa_\nu} \frac{dB_\nu(T)}{dT} d\nu.$$

- Define **Rosseland mean opacity** κ_R :

$$\frac{1}{\kappa_R} \int_0^\infty \frac{dB_\nu(T)}{dT} d\nu \equiv \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\kappa_\nu} \frac{dB_\nu(T)}{dT} d\nu.$$

- Also:

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dB_\nu(T)}{dT} d\nu = \frac{d}{dT} \int_0^\infty B_\nu(T) d\nu = \frac{d}{dT} \left(\frac{acT^4}{4\pi} \right) = \frac{acT^3}{\pi}.$$

AS 3003

Stellar Physics

Rosseland mean opacity - 2

- Rearrange to get radiative transport equation:

$$\frac{dT}{dr} = -\frac{L}{4\pi r^2} \frac{3\kappa_R \rho}{4acT^3} \quad \text{where} \quad \frac{1}{\kappa_R} \equiv \frac{\pi}{acT^3} \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\kappa_\nu} \frac{dB_\nu(T)}{dT} d\nu.$$

- i.e. same as before, but now we can calculate $\kappa_R = \kappa_R(\rho, T, X_i)$ from local conditions alone.
- Modern stellar structure calculations use tables of precalculated opacities for different chemical mixtures.
- Highly complex! Need to include all species and all bb, bf, ff and es processes.
- Opacity Project: data available online.

AS 3003

Stellar Physics

Useful approximate opacities

- **Approximations useful in specific ranges of T and ρ for constructing simple stellar models:**

- **Intermediate T: bf and ff processes dominate:**

$$\kappa_{\text{bf}} = \kappa_{\text{bf}}^0 Z(1+X)\rho T^{-7/2} \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}, \quad \kappa_{\text{bf}}^0 \approx 4 \times 10^{24}$$

$$\kappa_{\text{ff}} = \kappa_{\text{ff}}^0 (X+Y)(1+X)\rho T^{-7/2} \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}, \quad \kappa_{\text{ff}}^0 \approx 4 \times 10^{21}$$

- **High T: electron scattering dominates:**

$$\kappa_{\text{es}} = \frac{n_e}{\rho} \alpha_{\text{es}} = \frac{(1+X)}{2m_{\text{H}}} \alpha_{\text{es}} \approx 0.02(1+X) \text{ m}^2 \text{ kg}^{-1}.$$

$$\alpha_{\text{es}} = \frac{8\pi}{3} \left(\frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 mc^2} \right)^2$$

NB Check your AS2001 notes!

AS 3003

Stellar Physics

6.4 Diffusion approximation

- **Gradient in energy density of carriers:**

$$\frac{du}{dr} = \frac{du}{dT} \frac{dT}{dr} = C \frac{dT}{dr}.$$

C=Heat capacity

- **Heat flux density is then:**

$$F(r) = -K \frac{dT}{dr}, \quad \text{where } K \approx \frac{1}{3} \bar{v} l C$$

Thermal diffusivity

Mean speed

Mean free path

- **For photons:**

$$u_r = aT^4, \quad C_r = 4aT^3 \Rightarrow K_r \approx \frac{4acT^3}{3\kappa\rho}.$$

- **For electrons:**

$$u_e = \frac{3}{2} n_e kT, \quad C_e = \frac{3}{2} n_e k, \quad \bar{v}_e = \left(\frac{3kT}{m_e} \right)^{1/2}.$$

AS 3003

Stellar Physics

6.5 Electron conduction

- e^- - ion collisions transfer energy more efficiently than e^- - e^- collisions.
- Mean free path for e^- to collide with ion is:

$$\bar{l} = \frac{1}{n_i \sigma}; \text{ estimate } \sigma = \pi r^2$$

- where r is the distance at which potential energy of e^- - ion pair matches thermal KE:

$$\frac{Ze^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0 r} \approx kT \Rightarrow K_e \approx \frac{k}{2\pi} \left(\frac{3kT}{m_e} \right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{4\pi\epsilon_0 kT}{Ze^2} \right)^2$$

$$\text{Total opacity: } 1/\kappa_{\text{tot}} = 1/\kappa_{\text{rad}} + 1/\kappa_{\text{cond}},$$

$$\text{where } \kappa_{\text{cond}} = \frac{4acT^3}{3K_e\rho}. \quad \text{From diffusion approximation}$$

AS 3003

Stellar Physics

Electron vs photon conduction

- Use electron scattering cross-section to get a lower limit on photon conductivity:

$$\bar{l} = \frac{1}{n_e \alpha_{\text{es}}}; \text{ if we assume that } n_e \approx Zn_i,$$

$$\text{get } \frac{K_r}{K_e} \approx \frac{Z}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{aT^3}{n_e k} \left(\frac{m_e c^2}{kT} \right)^{5/2} = \sqrt{3} Z \frac{P_r}{P_e} \left(\frac{m_e c^2}{kT} \right)^{5/2}$$

- e.g. hydrogen plasma, solar interior conditions:

$$T = 10^6 \text{ K}, \rho = 1.4 \times 10^3 \text{ kg m}^{-3}$$

$$\Rightarrow kT \approx 10^{-3} m_e c^2, P_r = 3 \times 10^{11} \text{ Pa}, P_e = 7 \times 10^{13} \text{ Pa}$$

$$\Rightarrow K_r = 2 \times 10^5 K_e, \text{ i.e. photons are more effective.}$$

AS 3003

Stellar Physics

Electron conduction in white dwarfs

- **Photon mean free path becomes short in dense material.**
- **Electrons form dense degenerate gas with high conductivity, cf. metals.**
- **If Fermi energy $\varepsilon_F \gg kT$:**
 - \bar{v}_e increases by factor $\sim (\varepsilon_F/kT)^{1/2}$,
 - C_e decreases by factor $\sim kT/\varepsilon_F$.
- **Mean free path is also longer: e^- only scattered if unoccupied state available to be filled.**
- **High electron conductivity gives nearly uniform temperature throughout degenerate interior.**
- **Surrounded by insulating outer layer of non-degenerate e^- and ions.**